5249 DUKE ST., SUITE 203 ALEXANDRIA, VA. 22304 - 2984 (703) 370-0483

RAPHAEL J. OSHEROFF, M.D. MEDICAL DIRECTOR

HENRY LEIBOVICI, M.D. CO-MEDICAL DIRECTOR

January 19, 1984

George Pollock, M.D. President, Chicago Psychoanalytic Institute 180 North Michigan Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60606

Dear Doctor Pollock:

I have recently been given an award for monetary damages against a health care provider, Chestnut Lodge and two of its associated physicians by the Maryland State Health Claims Arbitration Board in the formal proceeding which took place during the last three weeks in December 1983. I came to Chestnut Lodge for treatment of a severely incapacitated classical agitated depression, i.e., DSM III major depressive illness with melancholia.

The witnesses on my behalf were Doctors Bernard Carroll of Duke University, Gerald Klerman of Harvard University, Donald Klein of Columbia University, Doctor Frank Ayd of Baltimore and William Z. Potter, M.D., Ph.D. of the Psychobiology Research Section, National Institute of Mental Health.

The issues brought forth in this case include, among others:

1. Civil rights and informed consent for psychiatric patients.

2. Failure to use scientific methodology, i.e., "evidence of efficacy" to select a treatment plan for an individual patient.

3. Blatant and willful disregard of known effective somatic treatments in favor of a dogmatic and persistent use of "psychological" (i.e., confrontative) treatments which produced no beneficial results over a prolonged period of time and led to continued and severe marked deterioration in the clinical status of the patient.

Doctor Klerman and our other witnesses feel that the outcome of this case may have far-reaching and "precedent-setting" effects on the enforcing of scientific standards within psychiatric practice. Doctor Klerman intends, in conjunction with the other witnesses, to publish this case in the near future, probably in the American Journal of Psychiatry.

George Pollock, M.D. January 19, 1984 Page Two

I fully realize that a busy professional schedule leaves little time for a reading of unsolicited material, however, I feel that knowledge of this case may be important to you in a professional sense. In this light, I will be sending you under separate cover some preliminary materials for your perusal and, following the opportunity to read these, I would appreciate being able to set up a personal meeting with you to discuss this at greater length. The preliminary materials I am sending to you include my manuscript, "A Symbolic Death", which is a memoir of my stay in Chestnut Lodge. In addition, there will be depositions of Doctor Joan Narad, the physician who took care of me at Silver Hill when I was transferred there following seven months at Chestnut Lodge and the pretrial depositions of Doctor Gerald Klerman and Doctor Frank Ayd. I will also be enclosing the transcript of a treatment care planning meeting that was held after I was at Chestnut Lodge for three months. This document was not part of the chart and was only released to us upon "judicial" order of the panel chairman. The document is very important in terms of the concept of the civil rights of the patient, informed consent, and total disregard of known effective somatic treatments in favor of years and years of prolonged hospitalization, etc.

There will be available to you in the future transcripts of testimony given at the trial or I may arrange for viewing of the video tapes of testimony by our expert witnesses. The entire process in Maryland is recorded on video tapes, but as yet we have not received the printed transcript.

I am certain that Doctor Klerman would be delighted to discuss his views of this case with you and to give his opinion of the precedent-setting effects it may have on the application of scientific methodology in the choice of psychiatric treatment planning in the future.

I would greatly appreciate the time you will spend in reviewing the material and look forward to hearing from you to set up a personal appointment for further discussion of what may prove to be a very important legal case in terms of future psychiatric practice.

I remain,

Yours sincerely,

Raphael J. Osheroff, M.D.

RJO:DCF:raj

5249 DUKE ST., SUITE 203 ALEXANDRIA, VA. 22304 (703) 370-0483

RAPHAEL J. OSHEROFF. M.D. MEDICAL DIRECTOR

August 27, 1982

HENRY LEIBOVICI, M.D. CO-MEDICAL DIRECTOR

George H. Pollock, M.D., Ph.D. Director, The Institute for Psychoanalysis 180 North Michigan Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60601

Dear Doctor Pollock:

I have recently been informed that you are currently directing studies which involve the use of psychoanalytic techniques in patients who are being treated with various types of psychoactive medications. As this, to my knowlege, represents a rather radical breakthrough in terms of orthodox analysis, it has certainly captured my interest.

I should like to know particularly of your views concerning the use of psychoanalytic "intensive" psychotherapy in patients who are being treated for severe depression with tricyclic drugs or monamine oxidase inhibitors. In terms of psychoanalytic theory, I would be most grateful if you would let me know whether you feel that the use of these medications interferes with the formation of the transference, etc.

I would appreciate receiving some references from you in which these matters are discussed. In addition, I should like to call your office and arrange for a telephone discussion of this matter, possibly preparatory to a pre-arranged personal visit to discuss these subjects.

I look forward to hearing from you at your earliest possible convenience.

Sincerely yours,

Kaphael J. Osheroff, M.D.

RJO:DCF:sp

Institute

180 N. MICHIGAN AVENUE

For

CHICAGO

Psychoanalysis

ILLINOIS 60601 PHONE 726/6300

September 8, 1982

Raphael J. Osheroff, M.D. Medical Director Northern Virginia Dialysis Center, Inc. 5249 Duke Street, Suite 203 Aleandria, Virginia 22304

Dear Dr. Osheroff:

Thank you for your letter of August 27. You are quite correct. I am most interested in the issues you describe; however, I have not as yet published on the topic. Specifically, I am interested in the kinds of transferences and different kinds of pathologies that occur, with and without medication. I believe that one can have two or more diseases of the psychic system, each one independent of the other and each requiring special treatment but, as I indicated, I have nothing written on this as yet. Perhaps we can talk sometime.

Sincerely.

George H. Pollock, M.D.

Director

5249 DUKE ST., SUITE 203 ALEXANDRIA, VA. 22304 - 2984 (703) 370-0483

RAPHAEL J. OSHEROFF, M.D. MEDICAL DIRECTOR

HENRY LEIBOVICI, M.D. CO-MEDICAL DIRECTOR

January 19, 1984

Carol Nadelson, M.D. Department of Psychiatry Tufts University Medical Center 171 Harrison Avenue Boston, Massachusetts 02111

Dear Doctor Nadelson:

I have recently been given an award for monetary damages against a health care provider, Chestnut Lodge and two of its associated physicians by the Maryland State Health Claims Arbitration Board in the formal proceeding which took place during the last three weeks in December 1983. I came to Chestnut Lodge for treatment of a severely incapacitated classical agitated depression, i.e., DSM III major depressive illness with melancholia.

The witnesses on my behalf were Doctors Bernard Carroll of Duke University, Gerald Klerman of Harvard University, Donald Klein of Columbia University, Doctor Frank Ayd of Baltimore and William Z. Potter, M.D., Ph.D. of the Psychobiology Research Section, National Institute of Mental Health.

The issues brought forth in this case include, among others: Civil rights and informed consent for psychiatric patients.

- Failure to use scientific methodology, i.e., "evidence of
- efficacy" to select a treatment plan for an individual patient.
 3. Blatant and willful disregard of known effective somatic treatments in favor of a dogmatic and persistent use of "psychological" (i.e., confrontative) treatments which produced no beneficial results over a prolonged period of time and led to continued and severe marked deterioration in the clinical status of the patient.

Doctor Klerman and our other witnesses feel that the outcome of this case may have far-reaching and "precedent-setting" effects on the enforcing of scientific standards within psychiatric practice. Doctor Klerman intends, in conjunction with the other witnesses, to publish this case in the near future, probably in the American Journal of Psychiatry.

Carol Nadelson, M.D. January 19, 1984 Page Two

I fully realize that a busy professional schedule leaves little time for a reading of unsolicited material, however, I feel that knowledge of this case may be important to you in a professional sense. In this light, I will be sending you under separate cover some preliminary materials for your perusal and, following the opportunity to read these, I would appreciate being able to set up a personal meeting with you to discuss this at greater length. The preliminary materials I am sending to you include my manuscript, "A Symbolic Death", which is a memoir of my stay in Chestnut Lodge. In addition, there will be depositions of Doctor Joan Narad, the physician who took care of me at Silver Hill when I was transferred there following seven months at Chestnut Lodge and the pretrial depositions of Doctor Gerald Klerman and Doctor Frank Ayd. I will also be enclosing the transcript of a treatment care planning meeting that was held after I was at Chestnut Lodge for three This document was not part of the chart and was only released to us upon "judicial" order of the panel chairman. ument is very important in terms of the concept of the civil rights of the patient, informed consent, and total disregard of known effective somatic treatments in favor of years and years of prolonged hospitalization, etc.

There will be available to you in the future transcripts of testimony given at the trial or I may arrange for viewing of the video tapes of testimony by our expert witnesses. The entire process in Maryland is recorded on video tapes, but as yet we have not received the printed transcript.

I am certain that Doctor Klerman would be delighted to discuss his views of this case with you and to give his opinion of the precedent-setting effects it may have on the application of scientific methodology in the choice of psychiatric treatment planning in the future.

I would greatly appreciate the time you will spend in reviewing the material and look forward to hearing from you to set up a personal appointment for further discussion of what may prove to be a very important legal case in terms of future psychiatric practice.

I remain,

Yours sincerely,

Raphael J. Osheroff, M.D.

RJO:DCF:raj

5249 DUKE ST., SUITE 203 ALEXANDRIA, VA. 22304 - 2984 (703) 370-0483

RAPHAEL J. OSHEROFF, M.D. MEDICAL DIRECTOR

HENRY LEIBOVICI, M.D. CO-MEDICAL DIRECTOR

January 19, 1984

John Talbott, M.D. 160 West 94th Street New York, New York 10025

Dear Doctor Talbott:

I have recently been given an award for monetary damages against a health care provider, Chestnut Lodge and two of its associated physicians by the Maryland State Health Claims Arbitration Board in the formal proceeding which took place during the last three weeks in December 1983. I came to Chestnut Lodge for treatment of a severely incapacitated classical agitated depression, i.e., DSM III major depressive illness with melancholia.

The witnesses on my behalf were Doctors Bernard Carroll of Duke University, Gerald Klerman of Harvard University, Donald Klein of Columbia University, Doctor Frank Ayd of Baltimore and William Z. Potter, M.D., Ph.D. of the Psychobiology Research Section, National Institute of Mental Health.

The issues brought forth in this case include, among others:
1. Civil rights and informed consent for psychiatric patients.

- 2. Failure to use scientific methodology, i.e., "evidence of efficacy" to select a treatment plan for an individual patient.
- 3. Blatant and willful disregard of known effective somatic treatments in favor of a dogmatic and persistent use of "psychological" (i.e., confrontative) treatments which produced no beneficial results over a prolonged period of time and led to continued and severe marked deterioration in the clinical status of the patient.

Doctor Klerman and our other witnesses feel that the outcome of this case may have far-reaching and "precedent-setting" effects on the enforcing of scientific standards within psychiatric practice. Doctor Klerman intends, in conjunction with the other witnesses, to publish this case in the near future, probably in the American Journal of Psychiatry.

_ /

John Talbott, M.D. January 19, 1984 Page Two

I fully realize that a busy professional schedule leaves little time for a reading of unsolicited material, however, I feel that knowledge of this case may be important to you in a professional sense. In this light, I will be sending you under separate cover some preliminary materials for your perusal and, following the opportunity to read these, I would appreciate being able to set up a personal meeting with you to discuss this at greater length. The preliminary materials I am sending to you include my manuscript, "A Symbolic Death", which is a memoir of my stay in Chestnut Lodge. In addition, there will be depositions of Doctor Joan Narad, the physician who took care of me at Silver Hill when I was transferred there following seven months at Chestnut Lodge and the pretrial depositions of Doctor Gerald Klerman and Doctor Frank Ayd. I will also be enclosing the transcript of a treatment care planning meeting that was held after I was at Chestnut Lodge for three This document was not part of the chart and was only remonths. leased to us upon "judicial" order of the panel chairman. ument is very important in terms of the concept of the civil rights of the patient, informed consent, and total disregard of known effective somatic treatments in favor of years and years of prolonged hospitalization, etc.

There will be available to you in the future transcripts of testimony given at the trial or I may arrange for viewing of the video tapes of testimony by our expert witnesses. The entire process in Maryland is recorded on video tapes, but as yet we have not received the printed transcript.

I am certain that Doctor Klerman would be delighted to discuss his views of this case with you and to give his opinion of the precedent-setting effects it may have on the application of scientific methodology in the choice of psychiatric treatment planning in the future.

I would greatly appreciate the time you will spend in reviewing the material and look forward to hearing from you to set up a personal appointment for further discussion of what may prove to be a very important legal case in terms of future psychiatric practice.

I remain,

Yours sincerely

Raphael J. Osheroff, M.D.

RJO:DCF:raj